



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/427,802	10/27/1999	BAHRAM GHAFFARZADEH KERMANI	KERMANI-14	3789
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAM	INER
MARK D SIMPSON ESQ			HIRL, JOSEPH P	
SYNNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP 2600 ARAMARK TOWER			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1101 MARKET STREET			2121	
PHILADELPHI	A, PA 191072950		DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/427,802	KERMANI, BAHRAM CANAN CA				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date and for the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other:						
10. Other:	food of					

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Regarding claims 7 and 14, there is nothing in claims 7 and 14 to exclude the set containing one rule as indicated by therespective independent claim "... generating an optimized rule ... and therefore claims 7 and 14 are not limiting if the predetermined number is one. Regarding the issue of "plateau" and "threshold" being synonymous, the Examiner invites the Applicant to return to the specification, page 12, line 8 where plateau is simply detined as being a "constant". Regarding maximization of "f of x equals x squared", again the prior art of Hung at c 4, I 36-40 and related discussions apply. In dealing with continuous functions, mathamaticians have no trouble dealing with functions that are defined over an interval of plus or minus infinity, i.e. the intergal of two x dx is x squared plus a constant. Optimization follows the function and the definition of the interval of the variable.